

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/637,118	DORRICOTT, BRIAN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	UMAR CHEEMA	2444	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) UMAR CHEEMA. (3) Aaron Paters (Reg. No. 48,801).
 (2) William Vaughn (SPE, 2444). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 January 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: _____

Identification of prior art discussed: _____

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's attorney called William Vaughn, SPE 2444, to address the printing problem at USPTO and not receiving the action. Agreement was reached and Examier is sending another action to restart the clock for "Final Action" previously mailed out on 08/12/2008.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Umar Cheema/
 Examiner, Art Unit 2444